

## **RatingsDirect**®

### **Summary:**

# Douglas County, Nevada; General Obligation

### **Primary Credit Analyst:**

Daniel J Zuccarello, New York (1) 212-438-7414; daniel.zuccarello@standardandpoors.com

### **Secondary Contact:**

Bryan A Moore, San Francisco (1) 415-371-5077; bryan.moore@standardandpoors.com

### **Table Of Contents**

Rationale

Outlook

### **Summary:**

### Douglas County, Nevada; General Obligation

Credit Profile		
Douglas Cnty GO (XLCA)		
Unenhanced Rating	AA(SPUR)/Stable	Upgraded

### Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services raised its long-term rating and underlying rating (SPUR) on Douglas County, Nev.'s previously issued limited-tax general obligation (GO) bonds to 'AA' from 'A+', based on our local GO criteria released Sept. 12, 2013. The outlook is stable.

A limited pledge of ad valorem taxes secures the bonds, subject to statutory limits on an overlapping tax rate of \$3.64/\$100 of assessed value (AV). The total overlapping tax rate for fiscal year 2014 is at the limit.

The rating further reflects our assessment of the following factors for the county.

- Douglas County, with a stable population of approximately 48,000, covers a predominantly rural 750-square-mile area bordering Lake Tahoe and the eastern slope of the Sierra Nevada mountains south of Carson City, the state capital. Despite very strong economic indicators, including projected per-capita effective buying income of 132% and per-capita market value of \$145,000, we consider Douglas County's economy weak, mostly due to its employment base concentration and persistently high unemployment rate. Its unemployment has improved, but remains high, averaging 12% in 2012 and 10.3% through November 2013, after peaking at 14.6% in 2010. The regional economy depends on tourism along southeastern Lake Tahoe, and local employment centers on services and gaming. The property tax base is diverse, in our opinion, with significant property wealth in land near the lake. After peaking at over \$3.5 billion in fiscal 2009, AV has declined significantly to an estimated \$2.4 billion in fiscal 2014.
- In our opinion, the county's budgetary flexibility remains very strong with available, assigned and unassigned general fund reserves above 15% of expenditures for the past several years and no plans to significantly spend them down. Audited available general fund reserves were \$6.8 million or 18.3% of expenditures for fiscal 2013.
- The county's budgetary performance has been adequate overall, in our view, with a 3.0% general fund surplus in fiscal 2013, but a slight deficit of 1.9% across all governmental funds. Officials balanced the fiscal 2014 budget and expect available reserves to remain consistent with levels maintained in fiscal 2013. Although revenue generated from the county's primary revenue sources--property and consolidated sales taxes--have stagnated in recent years, we believe officials have successfully implemented corresponding expenditure adjustments, permitting the county to add to reserves in fiscal years 2012 and 2013 after consecutive deficits in fiscal years 2009-2011.
- Supporting the county's finances is liquidity we consider very strong, with adjusted total government available cash at 29% of total governmental fund expenditures well over 100% of annual debt service expenditures. We believe the city has strong access to external liquidity since it has regularly issued GO and other tax- and revenue-supported bonds over the past 15 years.
- We view the county's management conditions as very strong with strong financial practices that are well embedded, and likely sustainable.

- In our opinion, the county's debt and contingent liabilities profile is very strong. Total governmental fund debt service was 2.8% of total governmental fund expenditures in fiscal 2013 and net direct debt, including self-supporting water and sewer debt was 30% of total governmental fund revenue and slated to rise. Including an estimated \$15 million of additional GO debt that the county expects to issue over the next 12 months for capital improvements, we anticipate that net direct debt will increase to roughly 47% of total governmental fund revenue.
- Douglas County participates in the Nevada Public Employees' Retirement System, and it has historically contributed 100% of the annual required contribution (ARC); \$8.8 million of contributions in fiscal 2013 translated to 9.7% of governmental expenditures. The county also provides other postemployment benefits (OPEB) for eligible retired employees through either the state's public employee benefit plan or Douglas County Health Benefits Plan. The combined ARC pension costs and other postemployment benefit pay-as you-go costs for fiscal 2013 were 10.4% of expenditures, and we do not anticipate that these costs will increase substantially in the near term.
- We consider the institutional framework score for Nevada counties as strong. (See the Institutional Framework score for Nevada.)

### **Outlook**

The stable outlook reflects Standard & Poor's opinion that county officials will likely continue to manage general fund operations prudently, making the budget adjustments necessary to maintain stable financial operations and very strong available reserves. Therefore, we do not expect to change the rating over the two-year outlook horizon.

Although many factors point to stabilizing economic and financial indicators, we could consider a lower rating if the county's financial performance deteriorated, reducing its financial flexibility below levels we consider very strong. Due to what we consider the county's currently weak economy, coupled with its dependence on more cyclical economic and employment sectors, we do not expect to raise the rating over the outlook's two-year horizon.

### RELATED CRITERIA AND RESEARCH

### Related Criteria

• USPF Criteria: Local Government GO Ratings Methodology And Assumptions, Sept. 12, 2013

### Related Research

- S&P Public Finance Local GO Criteria: How We Adjust Data For Analytic Consistency, Sept. 12, 2013
- Institutional Framework Overview: Nevada Local Governments

Ratings Detail (As Of January 10, 2014)			
Douglas Cnty GO			
Unenhanced Rating	AA(SPUR)/Stable	Upgraded	
<b>Douglas Cnty GO bnds</b>			
Unenhanced Rating	AA(SPUR)/Stable	Upgraded	
Douglas Cnty GO (Medium-Term Pkg Gara	age Bnds)		
Unenhanced Rating	AA(SPUR)/Stable	Upgraded	
Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.			

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.globalcreditportal.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2014 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.